1	STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE		
2		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION	
3			
4	December 2, 2013 - 10:17 a.m. Concord, New Hampshire		
5	Concord, New H	NHPUC DEC17'13 AM10:44	
6		Dec 10, 006	
7	RE:	DW 13-236 WHITE ROCK WATER COMPANY, INC.	
8		AND LAKELAND MANAGEMENT COMPANY, INC.: Sale of Utility Assets of White Rock	
9	* * *	Water and Lakeland Management to Abenaki Water Company, Inc.	
10'			
11	PRESENT:	Chairman Amy L. Ignatius, Presiding Commissioner Robert R. Scott	
12		Commissioner Michael D. Harrington	
13		Clare Howard-Pike, Clerk	
14			
15	APPEARANCES:	Reptg. Abenaki Water Company, Inc.:	
16		Carol J. Holahan, Esq. (McLane, Graf) Steven V. Camerino, Esq. (McLane, Graf)	
17		Reptg. Lakeland Management Company and	
18		White Rock Water Company, Inc.: David W. Jordan, Esq.	
19		Reptg. PUC Staff:	
20		Marcia A. Brown, Esq. Michael Sheehan, Esq.	
21		Mark Naylor, Director/Gas & Water Division Robyn Descoteau, Gas & Water Division	
22			
23	Cour	rt Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52	
0.4			

ĺ					
1					
2	INDEX				
3		PAGE NO.			
4	WITNESS PANEL: DONALD J. E. VAUGHAN DEBORAH O. CARSON				
5	MARK A. NAYLOR				
6	Direct examination by Ms. Holahan	9, 56 19			
7	Interrogatories by Cmsr. Harrington 45, 57, 69 Interrogatories by Cmsr. Scott 60 Interrogatories by Chairman Ignatius 63				
8					
9	Redirect examination by Ms. Holahan 69 Redirect examination by Ms. Brown 71				
10					
11	PUBLIC STATEMENTS BY: PAGE NO.				
12	Mr. Edy Ms. Crystall	75 76			
13	Mr. Hammond	76 76			
14					
15	CLOSING STATEMENTS BY: PAGE NO				
16	Ms. Brown Ms. Holahan	79 83			
17	Mr. Jordan	85			
18	FURTHER QUESTIONS BY:				
19	Chairman Ignatius	82 83			
20	Cmsr. Harrington	63			
21					
22					
23					
24					

1			
2		EXHIBITS	
3	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
4	1	Direct Testimony of Deborah O. Carson, including	9
5		attachments (08-09-13)	
6 7	2	Direct Testimony of Donald J. E. Vaughan, including attachments (08-09-13)	9
8	3	Settlement Agreement (11-21-13)	9
9	4	Joint Petitioner's response to Staff Data Request 1-3, Sample of Customer Bill Formats	9
10			
11	5	Joint Petitioner's responses to 56 Questions 1 through 4 of Comment by Amy Hooper, on behalf of Village Shores Estates Association	56
12			
13 14	6	RESERVED (Record request indicating the resolution between the buyer and seller regarding the easement issue)	79
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

# PROCEEDING

CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: I'd like to open the hearing in Docket DW 13-236. This is White Rock and Lakeland Management's Joint Petition, along with Abenaki and New England Services, and a whole lot of entities, regarding a proposed transaction for the acquisition of Lakeland and White Rock, and a number of other requested approvals. This has been set for hearing on the merits this morning by a procedural schedule worked out back in October.

And, let's begin first with appearances.

And, then, I'll be interested in hearing afterwards

people's ideas on the best way to conduct the hearing this

morning, if we're going to have a panel of witness or

who's planning on testifying. So, we'll begin with

Ms. Holahan.

MS. HOLAHAN: Thank you. Good morning.

Carol Holahan, from the McLane firm, here on behalf of

Abenaki Water, a wholly owned subsidiary of New England

Service Company. With me today are Steven Camerino, my

colleague from McLane; Don Vaughan, President of New

England Service; and Deborah Carson, Treasurer of New

England Service, both of whom filed prefiled testimony in

this matter.

```
1
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Good morning, and
 2
       welcome.
 3
                         MS. HOLAHAN:
                                       Thank you.
 4
                         MR. JORDAN: Good morning,
 5
       Commissioners. I'm David Jordan. I appear on behalf of
 6
       Lakeland Management Company and White Rock Water Company.
 7
       And, with me is Theresa Crawshaw, President of both
 8
       Companies.
 9
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Good morning, and
10
       welcome.
11
                         MS. BROWN: Good morning, Commissioners.
12
       Marcia Brown, on behalf of Staff. And, with me today is
13
       Mark Naylor, who will be participating on a panel with Deb
14
       Carson and Don Vaughan from the Company, along with Mike
15
       Sheehan and Robyn Descoteau.
16
                         There are some non-intervenors in
17
       attendance today. And, I know that it's usually customary
18
       to have them at least put their name into the record.
19
       They are from the Homeowners Association, I believe.
20
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That would be great.
21
       Who do we have from the Homeowners?
22
                         MR. EDY: My name is Don Edy, E-d-y.
23
       And, I'm on the Board of Directors of the Village Shore
```

24

Association.

```
1
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: The Village Shores
       Association?
 2
 3
                         MR. EDY: Village Shore Estates
 4
       Association.
 5
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: And, that's in Bow,
 6
       correct?
 7
                         MR. EDY: That's in Bow, serviced by the
 8
       White Rock Water Company.
 9
                         MS. CRYSTALL: Sandy Crystall, resident
10
       of VSEA.
11
                         MR. HAMMOND: Fred Hammond, resident of
12
       VSEA.
13
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. We often
14
       have customers of companies who want to make a public
15
       comment, even though they didn't formally intervene and
16
       file testimony. And, if that's something that any of the
17
       three of you would like to do first, we can do that, and
18
       then you don't have to stay for the full hearing. If
19
       you'd like to stay and just listen, and then, at the end,
20
       if you have a comment, that's fine as well. So, it's
21
       really your preference. If anyone would like to speak
       first, just give me a wave?
22
23
                         (No verbal response)
24
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: If not, we'll get
```

```
1
       back to you at the end and see if there's something that
 2
       you want to add. But you won't be able to question the
 3
                   That's the difference between being an
       witnesses.
 4
       intervenor and just an interested party who's sitting in.
 5
                         Then, are we ready for putting the panel
 6
       on?
 7
                         MS. BROWN: Yes. The panel is going to
       be Don Vaughan, Deb Carson, and Mark Naylor. By
 8
 9
       agreement, we have set before you copies of exhibits that
10
       we intend to cover with that panel. If I could just
11
       identify for the record at this point, Exhibit 1 we
12
       propose to have Don Vaughan's testimony and attachments as
13
       one stand-alone exhibit. The copy in front of you is
14
       sequentially numbered. It didn't arrive that way with the
15
       sequentially numbered pages in the initial filing, but the
16
       content has not changed, other than that numbering, if
17
       you've made notes on your initial filed copy.
18
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you.
19
                         MS. HOLAHAN: I think that's actually
20
       Exhibit 2. I think Exhibit 1 is Deborah Carson's
21
       testimony.
22
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Oh, I think you're
23
       right. On the top, it's printed.
24
                         MS. HOLAHAN: Yes.
                                             Yes.
                                                   And, then
```

```
1
       Exhibit 3 is the Settlement Agreement. Sorry about that,
 2
       Marcia.
 3
                         MS. BROWN: Thank you for keeping me on
 4
                Thank you for that correction. So, that would
       my toes.
 5
       be Exhibit 2, Don Vaughan's testimony and attachments,
 6
       Exhibit 1 would be the testimony of Deborah Carson and
 7
       attachments, sequentially numbered. There is no
 8
       difference in content from what was initially filed and
 9
       this exhibit. Exhibit 3 is the Settlement Agreement.
10
       And, Exhibit 4 is a copy from discovery of sample bill
11
       formats that we'll be talking about with the panel today.
12
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: And, the Settlement
13
       Agreement is the same as the one that was filed with the
14
       Commission in late November, correct?
15
                         MS. BROWN: Correct. There's no content
16
       difference. The only difference is ensuring sequentially
17
       numbered pages.
18
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. All
19
       right. We'll mark those for identification as
20
       "Exhibits 1" through "4". Assuming there's no objection
21
       from anyone?
22
                         MR. JORDAN: No objection.
23
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Doesn't appear there
24
       is.
           All right. Thank you.
```

1 (The documents, as described, were herewith marked as Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2, 2 Exhibit 3, and Exhibit 4, respectively, 3 for identification.) 4 5 (Whereupon Donald J. E. Vaughan, 6 Deborah O. Carson, and Mark A. Naylor 7 were duly sworn by the Court Reporter.) 8 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: You can begin. DONALD J. E. VAUGHAN, SWORN 9 10 DEBORAH O. CARSON, SWORN MARK A. NAYLOR, SWORN 11 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 BY MS. HOLAHAN: 14 Mr. Vaughan, would you please state your full name for 15 the record. 16 (Vaughan) Donald J. E. Vaughan. 17 And, by whom are you employed? Q. 18 (Vaughan) New England Service Company. 19 And, what is your position with New England Service Q. 20 Company? 21 (Vaughan) I am President and Chief Operating Officer. 22 And, as President and Chief Operating Officer, what are Q. 23 your job responsibilities? 24 (Vaughan) Generally, my responsibilities are Α.

- administration, oversight of operations, oversight of financial transactions, capital construction, customer
- 3 service.
- Q. Did you submit prefiled testimony in this matter on August 9th, 2013?
- 6 A. (Vaughan) I did.
- Q. Which has been marked for identification as "Exhibit 2" today?
- 9 A. (Vaughan) I did.
- 10 Q. Was this document prepared by you or at your direction?
- 11 A. (Vaughan) Yes.
- Q. Do you have any changes or corrections to this prefiled testimony at this time?
- 14 A. (Vaughan) The only thing that might be of interest is
- that we have received a decision from the Massachusetts
- 16 Commission to acquire Plymouth Water Company, in
- 17 Plymouth, Massachusetts. It's about 800 customers.
- And, that system will be set up as a separate C Corp.
- 19 subsidiary to New England Service Company.
- Q. Okay. And, if I were to ask you the same questions
- 21 that are contained in your testimony in Exhibit 2
- today, would your answers be the same?
- 23 A. (Vaughan) Yes.
- 24 Q. Can you please explain the corporate relationship

- 1 briefly between New England Service and Abenaki Water?
- 2 A. (Vaughan) Abenaki Water will be set up as a C Corp.
- 3 subsidiary wholly owned by New England Service Company.
- 4 Q. And, essentially, Abenaki was created to hold the
- 5 assets ultimately to be transferred by White Rock and
- 6 Lakeland?
- 7 A. (Vaughan) Yes.
- 8 Q. In addition to White Rock and Lakeland, are there any
- 9 other entities that you are acquiring as part of this
- 10 transaction?
- 11 A. (Vaughan) Yes. We are acquiring C&C Water Services.
- 12 Q. And, what is C&C's role with respect to the ongoing
- operations or the current operations of White Rock and
- 14 Lakeland?
- 15 A. (Vaughan) C&C's role will be virtually the same as it
- is now. Which means that they will be providing all
- the operating services, the operation daily to White
- 18 Rock and to Lakeland Management Company.
- 19 Q. Okay. Just for clarification, C&C is the current
- 20 operator of both of those systems?
- 21 A. (Vaughan) That is correct.
- 22 Q. Okay. Thank you. They are not a regulated entity by
- 23 this Commission, however?
- 24 A. (Vaughan) That's correct.

- Q. Do you believe that the acquisition of C&C will enable you to provide a seamless transition to the New Hampshire customers currently served by White Rock and Lakeland?
- A. (Vaughan) Yes. I do believe that we are hiring and taking on the current operator, Alex Crawshaw, who is the operator now. He will be working directly for New England Service Company. I see really no disruption of service. I think it will be a very smooth transition.
- Q. Are there currently members of the New England Service
  Company's Board of Directors that have experience with
  New Hampshire or utility experience generally that you
  believe will enable you to make a successful foray into
  New Hampshire?
- A. Yes. They are Steve Densberger, who was with

  Pennichuck, and has since retired. Steve is on our

  board, on the Abenaki Board, as well as Bonnie Hartley,

  who is also on the Abenaki Board. And, we also have on

  our main board, the New England Service Company Board,

  Hank Mulle, who is very familiar with water utilities,

  particularly with respect to cost of capital.
- Q. Very recently, did it come to your attention that there may be an outstanding issue with respect to Village

  Shores Estate Homeowners Association?

- 1 A. (Vaughan) Yes.
- 2 Q. Can you briefly describe that issue?
- A. (Vaughan) That issue results from an easement that

  Abenaki would be acquiring at this point, and it has to

  do with taxes relative to the assessed property on that

  particular easement.
- Q. Okay. So, essentially, perhaps there are two issues.

  One is, there is a possible outstanding amount that may or may not be owed to the Association, is that correct?
- 10 A. (Vaughan) That is correct.
- Q. And, what is your understanding about the status of those discussions with the Association?
- 13 A. (Vaughan) My understanding is that current ownership is

  14 working with the -- they're trying to resolve that

  15 particular issue.
- Q. And, then, there is that separate, but related, issue of whether that easement is necessary to ongoing utility operations, correct?
- 19 A. (Vaughan) That is correct.
- Q. And, that is the issue that is also being considered by discussions with the Association, is that correct?
- 22 A. (Vaughan) Yes.
- Q. And, if it is determined that that easement is not necessary to the ongoing operations of the utility,

- would it be New England's -- excuse me, would it be

  Abenaki's desire to carve that easement out of the
- 3 existing Asset Purchase Agreement that was previously
- 4 agreed to by the parties?
- 5 A. (Vaughan) Yes, it would.
- Q. Mr. Vaughan, did you participate in the settlement discussions that resulted in this Settlement Agreement that has been marked for identification as "Exhibit 3"?
- 9 A. (Vaughan) Yes.
- 10 Q. Do you believe that that agreement is a fair and reasonable compromise?
- 12 A. (Vaughan) I do.
- Q. And, do you believe that that agreement is in the public interest?
- 15 A. (Vaughan) Yes.
- Q. Thank you. Ms. Carson, could you please state your full name for the record.
- 18 A. (Carson) Deborah O. Carson.
- 19 Q. And, by whom are you employed?
- 20 A. (Carson) New England Service Company.
- 21 Q. What is your position with the Company?
- 22 A. (Carson) I'm Treasurer and Office Manager.
- Q. And, as Treasurer and Office Manager, what are your job responsibilities?

- 1 A. (Carson) Management of daily office tasks relating to
- New England Service Company, as well as its
- 3 subsidiaries, and financial reporting.
- 4 Q. Did you submit prefiled testimony in this matter on
- 5 August 9th, 2013 that has been marked today for
- 6 identification as "Exhibit 1"?
- 7 A. (Carson) Yes.
- 8 Q. Was this document prepared by you or at your direction?
- 9 A. (Carson) Yes.
- 10 Q. Do you have any changes or corrections to your prefiled
- 11 testimony at this time?
- 12 A. (Carson) No.
- 13 Q. If I were to ask you the same questions that are
- contained in that testimony in Exhibit 1 today, would
- 15 your answers be the same?
- 16 A. (Carson) Yes.
- 17 Q. Did you handle the research and negotiations for the
- 18 financing or proposed financing of the purchase of
- 19 White Rock and Lakeland?
- 20 A. (Carson) Yes, I did.
- 21 Q. Can you briefly describe the financing options you
- 22 considered?
- 23 A. (Carson) We submitted offers from two different banks,
- as well as there were -- there was the option of

- 1 acquiring the SRF loan or not using financing at all.
- Those were all options that we considered.
- Q. And, which option did you consider to be the best option?
- 5 A. (Carson) The offer that was proposed to us from CoBank.
- 6 Q. And, can you briefly describe the terms of that offer?
- 7 A. (Carson) Yes. A 10-year loan of up to 300,000, at a
  8 rate, as of two weeks ago, it was at 3.75, less a
  9 patronage of 75 basis points, which would bring it to a
  10 3 percent interest rate.
- 11 Q. And, are those terms substantially similar to the terms
  12 that were submitted in your prefiled testimony?
- 13 A. (Carson) Yes.
- Q. Do you anticipate that there will be any other changes, other than minor changes perhaps, between the -- before the sale is consummated?
- 17 A. (Carson) No major changes.
- 18 Q. Do you believe that the rates and terms of the financing are commercially reasonable?
- 20 A. (Carson) Yes. I believe we had very competitive offers.
- Q. Did you participate in the settlement discussions that resulted in the Settlement Agreement that has been marked for identification as "Exhibit 3" today?

A. (Carson) Yes.

- Q. Do you believe that the Agreement is fair and reasonable?
- 4 A. (Carson) Yes.
- Q. Do you believe that the Agreement is in the public interest?
- 7 A. (Carson) Yes.

8 MS. HOLAHAN: Thank you. All set for 9 cross-examination.

MS. BROWN: Chairman Ignatius, Staff would like to make a record request, because there is a straggler issue about how payment of taxes on an easement is going to be handled. Right now, Staff is speaking in support of a settlement agreement that presumes the easement is coming with the purchase and that the resolution of the tax issue will occur. And, we'd like to have a record request for that information to be filed in a couple weeks. But I guess I defer to Attorney Jordan on a time frame for that update.

CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Well, how about we first, if you can establish through the witnesses any of the details, it may be some of the things we could already get on the record from people here, and then the remaining issues that can't be resolved from your questioning we

1 then identify as a record request. I'm not opposed to more detail. Clearly, from the testimony of Mr. Vaughan, 2 3 there's more information we need about the easement issue. 4 But, before we jump straight to a record request, maybe 5 identify the specific questions you have and what these 6 witnesses can or can't answer to today. Mr. Jordan. 7 MR. JORDAN: I believe what Attorney Brown is talking about is that she wants from us a 8 statement "it has been resolved and how" or "it hasn't 9 10 been resolved". Not submitting the question to the 11 adjudication of the Commission, but just informing the Commissioners and the Staff of what we've done on it. 12 13 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: I think that's fine. 14 I think it would be helpful today, though, to hear what 15 the anticipated timing is, how it will be resolved, is it 16 something filed in the courts, is it something just 17 submitted to us, that Mr. Vaughan, if he's able to respond 18 to, would be helpful. And, then, whatever we don't know 19 could be supplemented, and also a final resolution report 20 on what that is. 21 But I have a question, certainly. 22 you don't, that's fine. I'll pursue it. But why don't we 23 see where we go first, before we identify a record

24

request.

```
1
                         MS. BROWN: The only comment I have is,
 2
       I'm not aware that any of the paneled witnesses will be
 3
       able to speak to that with the specificity that Staff is
 4
       seeking, but we can hold off on the record request. But I
 5
       don't even know if White Rock or Lakeland are in a
 6
       position today to even know what the resolution is going
 7
       to be.
 8
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right.
 9
       don't we try to get that from witnesses on the record.
10
       And, then, what we can't get that way, we'll look for
11
       other ways to develop it. Mr. Jordan, did you have any
12
       direct questioning?
13
                         MR. JORDAN: No ma'am.
14
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you.
15
       Brown, questions?
16
     BY MS. BROWN:
17
          Mr. Naylor, can you please state your name and position
18
          for the record please?
19
          (Naylor) Yes. My name is Mark Naylor. And, I'm the
     Α.
20
          Director of the Gas and Water Division here at the New
21
          Hampshire Public Utilities Commission.
22
          As a Director of the Gas and Water Division, can you
     Q.
23
          identify what you do in that capacity?
```

(Naylor) Yes. I manage the staff of the Gas and Water

24

Α.

- Division, and primarily focus on the water and sewer
- dockets that are filed with the Commission.
- 3 Q. What is your area of expertise?
- 4 A. (Naylor) I'm an accountant.
- Q. And, as part of your responsibilities, do you review acquisitions?
- 7 A. (Naylor) Yes. Any dockets that are filed with respect
  8 to water or sewer companies, that's our staff's
  9 responsibility, yes.
- Q. And, is it also Staff's responsibility to opine on whether it believes the acquisition is for the public good?
- 13 A. (Naylor) Yes.
- Q. Will the testimony you're offering today be within your area of expertise?
- 16 A. (Naylor) Yes, it will.
- 17 Q. Thank you. Mr. Vaughan, if I could just start with you initially, I just want to clarify. This purchase is
- for assets and liabilities, is that correct?
- 20 A. (Vaughan) Yes.
- Q. And, debt that is currently held by White Rock and Lakeland is being assumed by Abenaki?
- 23 A. (Vaughan) It is not. It will be paid off.
- 24 Q. Thank you. Mr. Vaughan, I believe you describe how the

- purchase price is to be determined in your -- in the attachment to your testimony. Could you please summarize that?
- A. (Vaughan) Yes. The purchase price is spelled out in
  the Agreement, the Asset Purchase Agreement. And, it
  is related to the assets, really relevant to rate base
  of each water system, White Rock and Lakeland.
- Q. And, for the record, if I could just -- I believe I'm directing your attention to Section 1.4 of the Purchase Agreement.
- 11 A. (Vaughan) Okay. Yes.
- 12 Q. Is that accurate for a description of what the purchase price formula is?
- 14 A. (Vaughan) Yes, it is. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. I'll let you summarize that formula. Thank you.
- 16 A. (Vaughan) Okay. Would you like me to go further
  17 into --
- 18 Q. I'd like you to summarize how the Companies are
  19 determining the purchase price.
- 20 A. (Vaughan) Okay.
- 21 Q. Thank you.
- A. (Vaughan) The purchase price would be based on rate
  base. It would be the net plant, less contributions in
  aid of construction, less deferred taxes, plus

- inventory, as it is spelled out in the Asset Agreement.

  And, it would be, I believe, established within a

  particular time frame of the closing.
  - Q. Thank you. Can you please explain the due diligence
    Abenaki took with respect to -- prior to purchasing
    White Rock and Lakeland?

4

5

- 7 (Vaughan) I went to meet the current owners of White Α. 8 Rock and Lakeland, and spent two or three days 9 reviewing their systems physically, scoured the 10 websites, the Commission's websites, also DES's, had 11 several questions back and forth with current ownership, and viewed various places, such as the site 12 13 of the inventory, and the present office, and became 14 satisfied with that. We also relied on Company 15 attorneys to investigate the real estate. And, after 16 that, we evaluated those responses and looked at the 17 whole purchase, the prospective purchase, and 18 determined that we had done a fairly diligent job on 19 the investigation.
- Q. When did the issue of the easement and property taxes come up?
- A. (Vaughan) The issue came up perhaps I want to say about a week or ten days ago.
- 24 Q. And, how were you made aware of that?

- A. (Vaughan) We were made aware of it by actually an e-mail from the Department regarding I think it was four questions relative to White Rock. And, I think the first question had to do with the real estate taxes and that issue.
  - Q. And, when you say "Department", are you referring to the Public Utilities Commission?
- A. (Vaughan) Yes.

MS. BROWN: And, Commissioners, I'd like to make an offer of proof at the moment. The e-mail that Mr. Vaughan is talking about was an e-mail that came in from the Homeowners Association to our Consumer Affairs Division. The Consumer Affairs Division brought it to the attention of Mr. Naylor. And, the questions from the homeowners, even though they're not intervenors, were passed along to the Company, so that they would be aware of the questions and could respond appropriately.

CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you.

MS. BROWN: And, I know responses have been forwarded to the Homeowners Association.

- BY MS. BROWN:
- Q. Mr. Vaughan, moving onto the franchises, Abenaki is taking over the entirety of the footprint service territory of White Rock and Lakeland, is that correct?

- 1 A. (Vaughan) That is correct.
- Q. And, what is happening to White Rock and Lakeland after the acquisition?
- 4 A. (Vaughan) We intend or propose to merge both systems.
- Q. So, that was a -- I asked a vague question, I apologize. Because I realize, whether the Companies exist afterwards is their own business, whether they serve is the Commission's business. So, when you say "merge", if you could just please explain that?
- 10 A. (Vaughan) Yes. When I say "merging", what I mean is
  11 that they will be -- fall under the ownership of
  12 Abenaki Water Company as two systems.
- Q. Thank you. Mr. Naylor, if I could bounce back to you,
  with regard to the Settlement Agreement. Did you
  participate in that document?
- 16 A. (Naylor) Yes, I did.
- 17 Q. And, you're familiar with the terms of that document?
- 18 A. (Naylor) Yes, I am.
- 19 Q. And, do you have any changes or corrections that you propose to that document?
- 21 A. (Naylor) No, I do not.
- Q. Okay. I'd like to draw your attention, Mr. Naylor, to
  Page 4, and Paragraph B, as in "boy, "Authority to
- Operate". And, there's a statement: "The Settling

- Parties agree that Abenaki has demonstrated that it has
  the requisite managerial, technical, and financial
  expertise to provide service to White Rock and Lakeland
  customers." Do you see that section?
  - A. (Naylor) Yes, I do.
- 6 Q. Did Staff conduct discovery on that issue?
- 7 A. (Naylor) Yes.

- Q. And, does Staff have an opinion on Abenaki's managerial, technical, financial capabilities?
  - A. (Naylor) As indicated in the Settlement, as one of the Settling Parties, Staff does believe that the Company, Abenaki, has the requisite managerial, technical, and financial capabilities to provide service. This our opinion on that is based primarily on the filing that the Joint Petitioners made here, and the demonstrations that they have provided us with respect to their plans for operating the systems, as well as supporting them, in terms of customer billing and other issues related to that. So, we feel that they have put together a good plan for transitioning to a new ownership. And, I believe they have demonstrated that they have the capabilities to operate the utilities effectively.
  - Q. Uh-huh. Mr. Vaughan, just a couple questions about the ability to do business in this state. Abenaki has

### [WITNESS PANEL: Vaughan~Carson~Naylor]

- filed with the Secretary of State's Office to do
  business in the state, is this correct?
  - A. (Vaughan) That is correct.

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. And, is that -- with respect to New England Service
  Company, will it be providing any regulated utility
  service in New Hampshire?
- 7 A. (Vaughan) It will not.
- 8 Q. What else does New England Service Company do?
  - A. (Vaughan) New England Service Company does primarily unregulated business. As an example, New England Service Company manages probably around a dozen systems in Connecticut. It provides billing services to half a dozen condominium developments. It does fundamental and core waterworks operations, such as backflow preventer testing and repair, it does some plumbing operations. It will also continue to do that when it when it actually assumes the ownership of C&C.
  - Q. Mr. Vaughan, I believe, or, maybe I didn't, in your direct, did you cover how affiliate contracts will be used by New England and Abenaki in your direct?
- 21 A. (Vaughan) I did not, I don't believe.
- Q. If you could please explain on the record, there are existing affiliate contracts, are you aware of that?
- 24 A. (Vaughan) Yes.

- Q. And, can you explain how those contracts either change or stay the same?
- A. (Vaughan) Existing now are contracts between C&C and Lakeland Water Company -- Lakeland Management Company and White Rock Water Company, so that, when New England Service Company acquires C&C, it will then provide those services from New England Service Company to Lakeland Management Company and White Rock Water Company. And, in doing so, we need to establish affiliate contracts between New England Service Company and those two systems.
- Q. And, are you aware that affiliate agreements need to be filed with the Commission?
- 14 A. (Vaughan) Yes.

- Q. Mr. Naylor, with respect to the Settlement Agreement,

  Paragraph E, there's a section about sewer tariffs.

  Can you please explain what Staff's looking for in this agreement?
  - A. (Naylor) Yes. The existing sewer tariff on file for Lakeland Management Company simply consists of the associated rate pages, and does not have anything comprehensive, in terms of terms of service. Keep in mind that Lakeland provides both water and sewer service, it does have a more comprehensive water

tariff, but does not have anything similar for the sewer side of the business. And, we felt that, since the tariffs would need to be refiled under the name of the new company, that it would be a good time for the sewer service to have a little bit more comprehensive set of terms and conditions on file.

- Q. Thank you, Mr. Naylor. Mr. Vaughan, you mentioned that Abenaki will be part of a larger corporate entity, New England Service Company. Can you please summarize what the benefits and efficiencies White Rock and Lakeland customers will see from this acquisition?
- A. (Vaughan) Yes. I can certainly start, and I know Ms.

  Carson -- Mrs. Carson can also add to this. But some of the benefits and efficiencies, first of all, will be our ability to access capital. And, I know that's commonly used, but it's going to happen, if the Commission approves this acquisition. And, the benefit there is that we can get capital at very low rates, and introduce debt as part of the capital structure. I don't want to get ahead of myself here, in terms of the questioning. But we'll be able to do single regulatory filings, tax reporting. There's some synergies with some of our personnel in supplementing existing ownership's role. And, when I say that, I mean Alex

Crawshaw's role. We'll have benefits like online payment, credit card payments. Actually, we are introducing also paperless billing in Massachusetts and in Connecticut, and we need to explore that with Commission approval here. But those would be some of the -- some of the benefits and some of the enhancements. Plus, the technical expertise that we'll have with a capital construction plan laid out. We have plans on that. And, so, I think that the customers will benefit by and large from those things that we can bring to the table.

- Q. Ms. Carson, do you have anything to add to that? The question was a listing of efficiencies and benefits to customers, and Mr. Vaughan did a very lengthy list.
- A. (Carson) Yes. I think just the administrative efficiencies, running similarly sized utilities, of just being able to handle the billing and the, you know, payables and cash receipts, and customer service, as far as, you know, being available. I know, right now, when customers call, it may be difficult to get ahold of somebody who could tell them exactly what their balance is at that moment, you know. But we would be able to do that. We always have somebody available from 8:00 to 4:30 Monday through Friday, as

- well as an answering service, to call whoever is on call, if there was an emergency, or to take a message that could be responded to the next day.
  - Q. Thank you. Mr. Vaughan, earlier in your testimony you talked about, in your due diligence, that you looked at the DES website. Do you know if White Rock and Lakeland are in compliance with DES regulations?
  - A. (Vaughan) I do know. And, they are.
- 9 Q. And, do you know whether White Rock and Lakeland are in compliance with federal regulations?
- 11 A. (Vaughan) I believe they are.

- Q. Mr. Vaughan, can you please explain how White Rock and Lakeland will have access to certified operators?
  - A. (Vaughan) Yes. They will have available to them their current operator, plus we have a we have one or two people now that have significant experience with Massachusetts and Connecticut licensing who will be getting a New Hampshire licensing. We don't anticipate the need for them, but I think that everybody that runs either Lakeland or White Rock will be properly licensed and have the requisite experience that they need.
  - Q. In the Petition, on Page 8, Paragraph 18, there was a mention of a "Travis Helming", and Staff followed up on this individual in discovery. Can you please explain,

- is he a certified operator and how he can help New Hampshire?
- 3 Α. (Vaughan) Travis is a certified operator in Massachusetts and Connecticut. He will be gaining his 4 5 New Hampshire licensing in the near term. He will be 6 able to help anyone that's operating either White Rock 7 or Lakeland, by virtue of his experience. And, I, in 8 addition, will be able to provide some of that 9 information. He has done contract ops before. And, in 10 fact, this would be a very similar operation as it is 11 now. C&C has the affiliate agreement between White 12 Rock and Lakeland. And, so, both parties are very intimate, when I say "both parties", I mean Alex, and I 13 14 refer to him as the "current ownership" or "current operator", and Travis. And, also, there's a couple of 15 16 other personnel we have in New England that are 17 well-versed in contract ops.
  - Q. Earlier you mentioned a "capital improvement plan", and
    I notice in the very last page of your testimony, the
    attachment, there is a projected capital program. And,
    I had a few questions for that. Do you have that --
- 22 A. (Vaughan) I do.

19

20

- 23 Q. -- that chart in front of you?
- 24 A. (Vaughan) I do.

1 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Ms. Brown, where did 2 you find that again? MS. BROWN: Exhibit 2, very last page. 3 And, this is the testimony attachment of Don Vaughan. 4 5 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. BY MS. BROWN: 6 7 Mr. Vaughan, there's a capital improvements budget of 0. 8 16,000 for 2013. Given that the approval is now in 9 December 2013, can you please explain whether that is 10 continuing, whether it's going to go over to 2014, or 11 how is this going to change, if any, the capital 12 budget, if it's going to change, if any? 13 (Vaughan) We were very optimistic in targeting capital 14 funds for 2013. For various reasons, in process, 15 things get delayed, and I think everybody is familiar 16 with that. But, in any event, what we typically do is 17 we project out five years. I did not -- we did not do 18 that in this case, because we wanted to get more 19 intimate with the performance and the behavior of the 20 system. So that capital projects in 2013 will move 21 Those numbers may be adjusted. But, over to 2014. 22 certainly, those items that are itemized there are

typical ongoing needs the systems have, perhaps with

the exception of Item Number 5, which is the "ground

23

1 water exploration". And, we feel like there's a definite need for that. 2 That has been mentioned with 3 the Village, as a matter of fact. But, after we 4 develop the intimacy with the systems, then we will be 5 projecting five-year capital budgets in certain 6 programs. If that answers your question? 7 Yes. But I may have a follow-up. Where will the funds Q. 8

to fund the capital improvement program be coming from?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- (Vaughan) The funds will be coming largely from Α. depreciation, a significant amount from earnings, possibly a line of credit that we will establish. There may be some working capital that we can utilize. But we think that that number in 2014, \$50,000, is fairly conservative. We might try to get a little bit more aggressive with it, but all the time we want to be sensitive to rate impact. In other words, we don't want to just make huge capital improvements, which would cause a rate application and a rate increase sooner than we would like.
- Q. What is your customary rate relief schedule in Connecticut? Do you come in every three years or five years for rate increases?
- (Vaughan) We typically average around three to three Α. and a half years, four years. But it depends on so

many circumstances, falling interest rates as an example. We've had the benefit of refinancing some debt in Connecticut, efficiencies. Our debt in Connecticut has allowed us to — the refinancing of the debt in Connecticut has allowed us to extend the need for a rate increase out it's probably about 18 months now, and I think we can even push that out further. So, we monitor the returns, the results and so forth. And, it's also important to us to make sure that the Company is healthy. That it's viable. So that it can afford the capital improvements that the system needs, so that, you know, we have a happy customer base, and ownership is happy.

- Q. A specific question about the meter installations. I think you said 10 percent, in the "Comments" section it mentions "approximately 10 percent each year" of the systems being replaced. Is this based on customary or did you actually look at how old the meters were in the systems?
- A. (Vaughan) We did. We would like to introduce some technology in this next round of 10 percent meters using radio transmission technology. But, typically, water systems replace 10 percent of their meters on an annual basis. Some are 8 percent, some are 10, some

- are even more. But, typically, it's around 10 percent.
- Q. Are you familiar with the National Association of Water
  Companies?
- 4 A. (Vaughan) Yes.

- Q. And, do they provide a sounding board or a resource for small companies operating their systems?
- 7 A. (Vaughan) Yes, they do.
  - Q. Do you have any concerns about being a smaller utility or whether you consider -- well, actually, let me just start that sequence of questions again. Do you consider yourself a small water utility or a large water utility?
  - A. (Vaughan) I'm going to go in the middle. I think we're middle-sized. We're not a large utility, you know, with respect to companies like Aquarion, or even Pennichuck. But we're, you know, we're getting close to Pennichuck. We know how to run small systems.

    We're nimble. We're not bureaucratic. We always look for that. We want a lot of accountability. And, so, I think that, you know, getting small companies, acquiring them, is helpful in our I'm going to use the word "portfolio". I think we can do a good job there.

    And, I think there are opportunities, not only for customers, but for also owners and managers.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. I think you were hinting at some of the issues I wanted to pursue with you. But is it common knowledge in the trade group, like NAWC, that small water utilities face challenges that the larger utilities do not face? Are you familiar with that?
- (Vaughan) For certain. They are subject to, you know, Α. tremendous variations in the income statement, as an example. A main break can be enormously significant to a small water company, whereas, in a larger company, you know, it's just a blip in the road. The issues related to capital expenditures and certain O&M expenses can be significant, in that they start to drive rates upward. They put pressure on rates. that's one of the challenges of running small companies. Typically, they can't afford to have a full-time person, and that's where we come in. there's some synergies here between White Rock and Lakeland, and who knows, other opportunities that we may be able to pursue. So, I think that the economies of scale will benefit not only the customers, but the operators also.
- Q. Thank you for that description. Mr. Naylor, a question for you about access to capital. What is your opinion on Abenaki's access to capital?

- Α. (Naylor) Well, I think it's very encouraging that the Company has come in, in its Petition to acquire these two utilities, with a request for a financing approval as well, and as detailed in the Petition and in the testimony, has some financing arranged, a \$300,000 The goal for the Company, as it has indicated to us, is to establish a balanced capital structure with the two utilities at about 50/50 debt and equity. So, I think -- I think that alone demonstrates that these utilities, under new ownership, will be off to a good start financially. And, that's certainly encouraging to us. As we've dealt with a number of small utilities in recent years that do not have those advantages, in terms of access to capital, and the ability to appropriately manage their capital structure. So, certainly, a very -- a very positive sign.
- Q. You mentioned "balanced capital structure", is that something that Staff looks for in a company?
- 19 A. (Naylor) Yes, it is.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. And, what are the benefits of a balanced capital structure?
- 22 A. (Naylor) Well, it's a balancing of the risk and cost.
  23 Certainly, utilities that, you know, are much more
  24 highly leveraged would have a -- tend to have a lower

overall cost of capital, but tend to be more risky.

Utilities that have a higher percentage of equity in their capital structure may be less risky, but reflect a higher overall cost of capital. So, somewhere around a 50/50 capital structure is generally ideal. It balances risk and cost.

Q. Can you earn a return on debt?

- A. (Naylor) Yes. Debt is part of the overall weighted average cost of capital and is applied to the rate base. Of course, that return, a portion of the return is what is sent to your lender, whether it's a bank or a bondholder or whoever.
- Q. Now, did you have any concern about how debt and equity of Abenaki is allocated to White Rock and Lakeland?
- A. (Naylor) No. We inquired, during our discovery with the Company, in terms of what they intended with respect to the structure of the capital structure for each of the utilities. And, what they have indicated is that they would like to maintain one capital structure for both utilities. Keep in mind that, with their proposal, the two utilities will be essentially within the same corporation, which is Abenaki. So, they will essentially have one set of financial statements. But, certainly, for regulatory purposes,

- and for measuring their earnings from year to year,
- 2 they will be able to produce separate income
- 3 statements, which, of course, is critical to measuring
- 4 their earnings and the appropriateness of their rates
- 5 on a going forward basis.
- Q. Are you aware that Abenaki has asked for a security interest with respect to the CoBank loan?
- 8 A. (Naylor) Yes, I am.
- 9 Q. And, do you have an opinion as to whether that security
  10 interest is in the public good?
- 11 A. (Naylor) It is in the public good. It's quite typical,
  12 and we support it.
- Q. Now, you're familiar that the Commission, in approving a financing request, needs to look at the use of the proceeds of those funds?
- 16 A. (Naylor) Yes.
- Q. And, did you inquire of the use of the proceeds of the funds in your discovery?
- 19 A. (Naylor) Yes.
- 20 Q. And, do you have an opinion on whether the use of the funds -- use of the proceeds of the funds of the
- financing are in the public good?
- A. (Naylor) Yes. I believe it's in the public good. As detailed quite extensively in the testimony and in the

- Joint Petition, the financing that's being sought is to

  -- is to be used to create a balanced capital structure

  as part of the acquisition, and we believe it's

  appropriate.
- Q. Ms. Carson, I wanted to turn to you about billing questions. And, we've marked for identification

  Exhibit 4, a response that you gave to Staff 1-3, and I believe you have a copy of it before you. Can you please explain how customers in White Rock and Lakeland will know that a utility has acquired them? Is there going to be a billing notice, for instance?
- A. (Carson) They did receive -- we did do a mailing of the notice to each customer that this transaction was in process, so that they could, you know, intervene, if they wanted to. So, they have received that notice.

  And, then, also, what we have done in the past, for example, with Colonial Water, is we included a letter, it could be separate or it could be with the first billing in January, that would explain who we are and, you know, any changes that they might see on their bill, and, you know, what will change and what will stay the same.
- Q. Uh-huh. With respect to your response to Staff 1-3, you included a sample Abenaki Water Company bill. Is

- that the likely format that customers will see from

  Lakeland -- that the White Rock and Lakeland customers

  will see from Abenaki?
  - A. (Carson) Yes. It would be something very close to this. This was taken from a template, basically, that we use. And, you can see that there's also a bill message in there. We use that every month as a way to give any news or, you know, any tips, as you see here, as far as cold weather, and that might be another place where we would put more information about our company, online bill payment, so forth.
- 12 Q. Will there be a toll-free number for customers to call?
- 13 A. (Carson) Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

- Q. And, looking back, the Lakeland and White Rock bills
  have a space for "Make your check payable", there's the
  address and the phone number. How will that
  information change when Abenaki takes over?
  - A. (Carson) You can see that we'll have a -- we have a spot where it says "make your checks payable", and we have the Connecticut address.
- 21 Q. Uh-huh.
- A. (Carson) And, then, they will also have a return envelope included with their bill with our address.
- 24 Q. And, will the phone number be at the bottom, as in this

[WITNESS PANEL: Vaughan~Carson~Naylor]

- 1 Abenaki sample?
- 2 A. (Carson) Yes.
- Q. Mr. Vaughan had earlier made reference to an office that he toured during the due diligence. Is that a billing office?
- 6 A. (Carson) No.
- 7 Q. And, so, will there be a physical billing presence in White Rock or Lakeland?
- (Carson) There hasn't been. There will just be 9 Α. 10 consistent -- it will be consistent with what they have 11 now, which is it's basically used as a field office, 12 inventory, so -- because the two systems are actually 13 about an hour apart, so the customers haven't been able 14 to have an actual billing office. But there will be 15 the -- we would definitely direct them towards the 16 website, which will be another method of payment.
  - Q. And, payment through the Web will be a new option for customers?
- 19 A. (Carson) Yes.

17

- Q. And, I believe, is it accurate, that White Rock -- the existing rate schedules for White Rock and Lakeland will stay the same, is that correct?
- 23 A. (Carson) Yes.
- 24 Q. And, does the Company have -- does Abenaki have any

- 1 plans for consolidating rates?
- A. (Carson) It's something that we would consider in the future. It would definitely -- we would have to really
- 4 investigate the impact.
- Do you have any consolidated rates post-acquisition as examples in any of your Massachusetts and
- 7 Connecticut --
- 8 A. (Carson) No.
- 9 Q. Okay. Will the billing frequency change under Abenaki
  10 from what customers see now with White Rock and
- 11 Lakeland?
- 12 A. (Carson) It would remain consistent. But, also, going
  13 forward, perhaps in the next rate case, we would seek
  14 approval for a monthly billing.
- 15 Q. So, going forward, the Abenaki Abenaki will just
  16 issue bills in one lump, rather than having them
  17 staggered, for White Rock and Lakeland?
- 18 A. (Carson) Right now, they're both billed quarterly, I
  19 believe around the same time.
- 20 Q. Sorry, my question was -- it could have been worded
  21 better. I'm familiar with some utilities they will
  22 send out bills, quarterly bills, in batches.
- 23 A. (Carson) Okay.
- Q. This is a small -- these are small systems. So, I

[WITNESS PANEL: Vaughan~Carson~Naylor]

- assume that Abenaki will continue sending them out in one batch, rather than trickling them over a period of weeks, as a quarterly bill?
  - A. (Carson) No. Just one batch.

- Thank you. Ms. Carson, do you know, in the Settlement Agreement, Paragraph E, it talks about an agreement with Laconia? Are you familiar with that issue?
- 8 A. (Carson) Yes. I'm familiar.
- 9 Q. Okay. Can you please explain how the sewer billing
  10 works with the existing company and -- or, with
  11 Lakeland and the City of Laconia?
- 12 (Carson) Yes. Currently, it's a verbal agreement. Α. 13 Where, after the customers, in Laconia, they actually 14 read their own meters and send in cards. And, I 15 believe, though, the process is to read some of the 16 bigger users, to verify those. And, then, when they 17 get the total consumption, they take their rates from 18 Laconia and, as far as sewer, and actually create their 19 own bill and send it along with their payment to the 20 City.
- 21 Q. Lakeland does not treat sewer, is that correct?
- 22 A. (Carson) Correct.
- 23 Q. It's the City of Laconia that treats the sewer?
- 24 A. (Carson) Yes.

```
1
                         MS. BROWN: If I can have a moment to
 2
       caucus with the Homeowners Association?
 3
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That's fine.
                                                           Take
 4
       your time.
 5
                         MS. BROWN: Chairman Ignatius, Staff has
 6
       completed its cross and direct. I don't know if you want
 7
       us to finish our redirect -- our respective redirects
       before your questioning, or just do additional redirects
 8
 9
       as customary after the Commission's questions?
10
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. Why
11
       don't we go ahead with Commissioner questions, and then,
12
       if there's any redirect needed, we'll take that up.
13
       Commissioner Harrington.
14
                         CMSR. HARRINGTON: Yes. I quess I'll
15
       ask, and who's ever the appropriate person to answer
16
      please.
17
     BY CMSR. HARRINGTON:
18
     0.
          Referring to Exhibit 2, which is entitled the
19
          "Testimony of Mr. Vaughan". If you go to Page 61, it
20
          has this copy of this attachment to the easement, where
21
          it spells out the "155 percent of the annual property
          taxes assessed on a parcel of land", and so forth and
22
          so on. And, yet, you stated, this is dated
23
24
          "August 9th, 2013". But I believe, Mr. Vaughan, you
```

- said that "no one knew about this until a week or ten days ago" in your testimony, and you didn't know what was there?
  - A. (Vaughan) I did know what was there, I'm familiar with that agreement. But, in my due diligence with existing ownership, I asked what the status was, and the response that I had or what I received was that they had never billed the Company. And, I wasn't sure about the, you know, even the validity of the agreement, whether it had been extinguished, or what it was, but I was satisfied with the response that I had at that time from ownership.
  - Q. So, the present ownership basically said "it exists on a piece of paper, but, in practice, no one's been following it"?
- 16 A. (Vaughan) Yes.

- Q. Okay. And, it's kind of hard to figure reading that,
  but it seems to give an easement to a lot of land, of
  various parcels, I guess, to the existing company.
  And, now, there's a question of how the payments were
  or were not made. But is there also a question of
  whether the existing easement is needed by the
  purchasing company?
  - A. (Vaughan) There is a question. And, we believe, in

### [WITNESS PANEL: Vaughan~Carson~Naylor]

- discussions with the existing ownership, that we would
  like to terminate that agreement, if it were possible,
  because we may not -- we don't think that there's water
  available there that is economically available to us.
  - Q. So, in the properties described in the easement, there is presently no -- of the Company's plant there?
- 7 A. (Vaughan) Would you repeat that question please.
- Q. The easement described some property. Is there any Company -- present Company property on that property?
  Do they have any plant there at all?
- 11 A. (Vaughan) Not to my knowledge.
- Q. Okay. So, if it was needed, it would be for some type of future expansion?
- 14 A. (Vaughan) Yes.

5

- Q. All right. Thank you. A couple of other questions.

  On the treatment of sewage, just so I understand it

  correctly now, there's a verbal agreement between the

  existing company and the City of Laconia for the sewage

  to go to them?
- 20 A. (Vaughan) Yes.
- Q. And, how does it get there? Is it piped directly there or is it sent to a central facility and trucked or what?
- 24 A. (Vaughan) It's piped.

- 1 Q. And, --
- 2 A. (Vaughan) It's a collection system.
- Q. Okay. It just seems kind of odd that there's never been a written agreement on this.
- 5 A. (Vaughan) It does seem odd.
- Q. So, I mean, theoretically, they could just say tomorrow stop sending it"?
- A. (Vaughan) That question was considered. I don't think
  that would or could happen. I think there's a pretty
  good relationship with the City of Laconia and Lakeland
  Management Company and the ownership, there's good
  discussion going back and forth. I think it's just an
  informal agreement that just remained that as a detail
  to be done at a later date, and it has never been done.
  - Q. And, in the Settlement Agreement, Exhibit -- what are we calling this? Exhibit 1 or 3?
- 17 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: The Settlement is 3.
- 18 BY CMSR. HARRINGTON:

- Q. Exhibit 3. It implies that you're going to enter into discussions. Have you made any preliminary discussions with the City of Laconia or an attempt to there to formalize the agreement?
- A. (Vaughan) We've made inquiries. We need a return phone call. They're difficult to pin down, but we will pin

1 them down.

- Q. But, as of right now, there's nothing that makes you believe that the agreement not only won't -- will not be able to continue as it is, but could be formalized in writing as well?
- A. (Vaughan) I do believe that.
  - Q. Okay. Okay. The e-mail that we received from Amy

    Hooper raises four questions, but we never really got
    any answers to the questions. Could somebody address
    those please?

MS. BROWN: I don't believe they have a copy of Amy Hooper. I don't know what document you're referring to, Commissioner Harrington.

e-mail. It's from Amy Hooper to Eileen Hadley. And, it says "Dear Public Utilities Commissioner", and there's a series of questions on it.

MS. BROWN: Okay. Thank you.

19 CMSR. HARRINGTON: And, in the

Settlement Agreement, I believe it says that those -- "The Association did not formally intervene, but White Rock and Abenaki provided written responses to the issues raised by the Association." So, I guess I'm just wondering what the written responses were, since we don't have copies of

```
1
       those.
                         MS. BROWN: I just gave Mr. Vaughan a
 2
 3
       copy of that e-mail. I know that -- I'll just leave it
 4
       there.
 5
                         WITNESS VAUGHAN: I have a copy of the
 6
               I received the e-mail. And, we did respond, I
 7
       know we responded to all four queries. I'm just trying to
 8
       think of the route that they took. I believe our counsel
 9
       responded, if I'm not mistaken.
10
                         (Atty. Brown conferring with Atty.
11
                         Holahan.)
12
                         MS. HOLAHAN: The responses to these
13
       questions were provided by Attorney Jordan, on behalf of
14
       White Rock, not from Abenaki. Abenaki did chime in on a
15
       couple of these answers, but I'm not sure that Mr. Vaughan
16
       is the appropriate witness to answer all of them. I do
17
       have a copy of the responses that were provided by Mr.
18
       Jordan, if I may show those to Mr. Vaughan?
19
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That would be fine.
20
       Thank you.
21
                         CMSR. HARRINGTON: Excuse me.
                                                        You had
22
       said that the responses were provided by White Rock?
23
                         MS. HOLAHAN: Yes.
24
                         CMSR. HARRINGTON: Okay.
                                                   Because, in
```

```
1
       the Settlement Agreement, it says they were "provided
       jointly by White Rock and Abenaki."
 2
 3
                         MS. HOLAHAN: That, technically, the
 4
       responses were provided by Attorney Jordan, from White
 5
       Rock, since they were directed to White Rock. There were
       three answers, 2, 3, and 4, on which Abenaki did
 6
 7
       participate in the response.
 8
                         CMSR. HARRINGTON:
                                            Okay.
                                                   Thank you.
 9
                         (Atty. Holahan handing document to
10
                         Witness Vaughan.)
11
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: And, I think it may
       be that, Mr. Vaughan, you're not able to fully answer the
12
13
       questions. But, maybe, to the extent that you can
14
       describe the responses, or if you have written copies, Ms.
15
       Holahan or Mr. Jordan, that you would rather put into the
16
       record, we could do it that way. And, if need be, we can
17
       have, whether an offer of proof from Mr. Jordan, or
18
       however to get the answers out in the record, whether it's
19
       in the written form or testifying or representing it this
20
       morning. I don't think we care about the formality, so
21
      much as the information.
                         MS. HOLAHAN: We're happy to put them in
22
23
       the record, if the Commissioners would like to have them
24
       in the record. But, if it's helpful -- more helpful for
```

```
1
       you to have the responses orally now, that's fine, too.
 2
       just want to make sure you have the answers that were
 3
       provided, not just the questions?
 4
                         WITNESS VAUGHAN: I do.
 5
                         MS. HOLAHAN: Okay.
 6
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Why don't we then
 7
       see how much, Mr. Vaughan, you're able to testify about
       the details here. And, if there's any remaining questions
 8
 9
       that you don't know, that's understandable. That's not a
10
       problem. We'll just find the right way to get the
11
       information.
12
                         WITNESS VAUGHAN: I have four questions
13
       here that came in from the Village. And, the first one
14
       had to do with the easement. If you'd like me to read the
15
       request, and then the response, I can do that, read
16
       directly?
17
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Yes.
                                                   There might be
18
       -- the question, at least the setup to the question is
19
      pretty long. Is there a way to just sort of summarize it
20
       quickly? We have a copy of the actual questions.
21
     BY CMSR. HARRINGTON:
```

- Q. Maybe you could just start with, under 1, where it says
  "a. Our request is that".
- 24 A. (Vaughan) Right. There was a -- Number 1, there was a

question regarding the easement and taxes. And,
essentially, our response was "the capital improvement
plan which was included as an exhibit in the Asset
Purchase Agreement contained" -- beg your pardon. I
beg your pardon. That was -- that's Number 2. The
response to Number 1 was "Our request is that White
Rock Water Company pay the Association for the years
2009, '10, '11 and '12 as we are unable to supply
records for the years 2002 through 2008." The second
question had to do with the --

CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Before you -- go

12 ahead.

#### BY CMSR. HARRINGTON:

- Q. Could you answer the first question, one at a time, so, we can try to -- you read the first question, you didn't give us -- provide the answer. Do you have the question?
- A. (Vaughan) Okay. The response here. Beg your pardon.

  Okay. The response is "White Rock Water Company is in the process of following up on the issues raised by the Association in this item, and will contact the Association directly to discuss resolution."
- Q. And, with regards to that, is this, from Abenaki's point of view, or whatever the correct company is that

1 you represent, does this issue have to be resolved 2 prior to the closing? 3 (Vaughan) I would like it to be resolved before the Α. 4 closing. 5 That's not exactly what I asked, though. Would it have 6 to be? 7 (Vaughan) I don't think it has to be. Α. 8 MS. HOLAHAN: Excuse me. May we just have a minute to confer? 9 10 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Certainly. 11 MS. HOLAHAN: Thank you. 12 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: In fact, why don't 13 we take a brief break, give a break to the court reporter 14 as well, so you can confer. 15 MS. HOLAHAN: Great. 16 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: And, I know these 17 are issues that are still sort of being developed. And, 18 so, it's understandable that not everything is known to 19 every person right now. So, let's take a break for ten 20 minutes and resume at 11:40. Thank you. 21 (Whereupon a recess was taken at 11:30 22 a.m. and the hearing resumed at 11:44 23 a.m.) 24 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Ms. Holahan, did you

```
1
       have more questions about the -- I forgot what we were
 2
       talking about, the --
 3
                         CMSR. HARRINGTON: The four questions.
 4
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Yes, the four
 5
       questions.
                   The questions that came from Ms. Hooper?
 6
                         MS. HOLAHAN: Do I have more questions?
 7
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Yes.
 8
                                            I was going to offer,
                         MS. HOLAHAN: No.
       I have a couple of copies. And, if it would be helpful to
 9
10
       the Commissioners, I'll ask that it be marked for
       identification as an additional exhibit.
11
12
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: You have copies of
13
       what?
14
                         MS. HOLAHAN: The questions and the
15
       responses that were provided, and that maybe we can move
16
       forward, if you think that would be easier?
17
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Sure. I think that
18
       would be a good idea.
19
                         MS. HOLAHAN: Okay.
20
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you.
21
                         MS. HOLAHAN: Then, I would ask that the
22
       responses to the Homeowners Association's Questions 1
23
       through 4, that were provided by Attorney Jordan, be
24
       marked for identification as "Exhibit 5".
```

```
1
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Is there any
       objection to that?
 2
 3
                         MR. JORDAN: None.
 4
                         MS. BROWN: No.
 5
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. Then,
 6
       that would be great. Thank you. And, do you have copies
 7
       for us?
 8
                         MS. HOLAHAN: I have a couple copies.
 9
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: We can make a couple
10
       of extra copies.
11
                         MS. HOLAHAN: Okay. Thank you.
12
                         (The document, as described, was
                         herewith marked as Exhibit 5 for
13
14
                         identification.)
15
                         MS. HOLAHAN: I did have one further
16
       question just to clarify or ask Mr. Vaughan.
17
     BY MS. HOLAHAN:
          Do you think that resolution of the easement issue
18
          needs to be done before the sale can be consummated?
19
20
          (Vaughan) I believe it does.
21
          By which parties do you think it needs to be resolved?
22
          (Vaughan) I believe it needs to be done between Abenaki
23
          and current ownership.
24
          That would be current ownership of White Rock, correct?
     Q.
```

A. (Vaughan) Correct.

Α.

- Q. Okay. So that, provided that you two reach an agreement as to how this situation is resolved, that is the only piece that needs to be resolved prior to closing?
  - A. (Vaughan) That's correct.

7 MS. HOLAHAN: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Commissioner

Harrington, more on this issue?

10 CMSR. HARRINGTON: Yes. Okay. So, that
11 helps. That does have some action that has to be taken
12 prior to closing.

### BY CMSR. HARRINGTON:

Q. Since we now have the answers to these, I don't want to dwell on all of the questions. There was one question, 3.a, where it talks about "water storage capabilities". And, then, in Exhibit 2, on Mr. Vaughan's testimony, on the very last page, there was some discussion of "conduct ground water exploration, investigate, and report", and with a comment that "White Rock has limited supply and is vulnerable to supply deficiency and marginal service relating to system breaks." Are these one in the same issues?

(Vaughan) They're probably two unrelated -- well, they

- are. They're dependent on each other. There is a —
  there's a supply that is somewhat less than optimal.

  And, I'm putting that, I guess, in fairly positive
  terms. You know, they could stand a lot more water
  than what they have. The second is, the breaks that
  occur become more significant because of the lack of
  supply. So, they are related.
  - Q. But that's the \$5,000 that's listed in the question -response to the question, that's the same \$5,000 that's
    listed on the last page of your testimony as conducting
    this groundwater exploration?
- 12 A. (Vaughan) Yes.

- Q. Okay. And, when would you expect that to be done? It was listed as being "2013". That would probably not happen this year?
  - A. (Vaughan) No, it would not. But it would happen, certainly, in 2014, and that would be a priority item.
  - Q. Okay. Just a couple more questions. Again, on your testimony, get the number here, yes, it's on Page 9, at the bottom of the page, it's Line 19. It says "Is NE Service seeking a change in rates for either White Rock or Lakeland in conjunction with the proposed transaction?" And, the answer is "No. [They] plan to operate the two systems for a period of time before

- seeking any rate relief in order to have a better
  history of operating costs." Do you have -- when you
  say "a period of time", what are we looking at here?

  Is this a couple of months, a couple of years? Can you
  narrow that down a little bit please?
- A. (Vaughan) I think it would probably be at least a year, to develop some of the operating, shall we say, idiosyncrasies of the combined companies, you know, to experience the seasonal demands that occur, and also to experience some of the problems that may be inherent with either system. As an example, of service leaks or breaks or treatment situations that we hadn't anticipated. So, once we get all that and evaluate it, and that all runs through the financials, then we'll be in a better position to predict our next rate application. But I wouldn't think it would be within the next year, in 2014.
- Q. So, it would be towards the end of next year, at least then?
- 20 A. (Vaughan) Yes.

Q. And, just so we're clear here, there's been a number of discussion on it, and, in fact, one of the responses to the questions, the fourth question, it also shows up other places, when it talks about "will result in

```
1
          reduced operating costs relative to what otherwise
 2
          would have been." Just to make the point clear for the
 3
          record, it appears what the Company is saying is they
          don't necessarily think that there are efficiencies
 4
 5
          that they bring from size and owning other companies
          will reduce rates, but it will lead to smaller
 6
          increases in rates in the future?
 7
          (Vaughan) Correct. And, we think we can do that by
 8
     Α.
 9
          certain savings. And, as I had mentioned before, I
10
          think our attitude would be to push out and maximize
11
          the interval between rate cases. And, we can do that,
          I think, by the synergies that are involved.
12
13
                         CMSR. HARRINGTON: All right.
14
       you. That's all the questions I had.
15
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Commissioner Scott.
16
                         CMSR. SCOTT: It's morning still. Good
17
       morning.
                Again, I guess whoever feels best qualified to
       answer my questions.
18
     BY CMSR. SCOTT:
19
20
          On the Settlement Agreement, in Section E, you had
21
          already discussed that it sounds like currently the
22
```

sewage treatment with Laconia is by verbal agreement. I was curious, so, understanding more "formalizing", I

23

24

assume that means "getting it in writing" I assume?

- 1 A. (Vaughan) Yes.
- Q. And, I assume, again, Laconia is currently charging to treat Lakeland's sewer, I assume?
- 4 A. (Vaughan) Yes.
- 5 A. (Carson) Yes, it is.
- Q. And, is your expectation there will be no change in that charge, once you bring it to their attention and have them formalize it?
- 9 A. (Vaughan) It's possible.
- 10 Q. So, it's also possible they may change that rate?
- 11 A. (Vaughan) They could, but I would hope they would not.

  12 We want to keep those rates, and we'll do whatever we

  13 can. We'll be as emphatic and so forth. But I think,

  14 internally, they develop their own rates, and they

  15 could be passed onto us. But we will try to our best
- Q. And, in either case, it sounds like there's a direct pipe connection between the treatment facility and the Lakeland system?

ability to keep the rates the same.

20 A. (Vaughan) Yes.

16

Q. Okay. Thank you. Also regarding sewer service, I was
curious for -- I understand that the plan is to bring
in the existing staff under Northeast [New England?]
Service or Abenaki. Does Northeast [New England?]

- Service on its own have any experience with sewer service? It sounds like I'm hearing a lot about water, but do you have sewer also?
- A. (Vaughan) Yes, we do. We have a warranty plan, which is, you know, a relatively new venture with water utilities. And, the warranty plan is that we oversee I think about 250 sewer services in our Connecticut operation. We maintain them when there's breaks for the homeowner. We evaluate them, and we stand by the homeowner if there's any issues. So, we have a telephone number they can call us, if there should be a problem.
- Q. So, I'll take from that, and maybe it was implied that both were part of it, when you talked about getting another licensed operator to help out Mr. Crawshaw, I mean, I assume at some point he can take a vacation or not be around for a day or two, you'll have somebody not only to spell him for water issues, but do you have capability to help or backstop him for sewer issues also?
- 21 A. (Vaughan) Yes.

Q. Thank you. You mentioned earlier, Mr. Vaughan, that there were no -- with either White Rock or Lakeland, there were no outstanding Department of Environmental

- 1 Service compliance issues, correct?
- 2 A. (Vaughan) Yes.
- Q. Are there any outstanding environmental issues or compliance issues with any of your companies that you service?
- 6 A. (Vaughan) No.

8

9

10

- Q. Good. And, you mentioned there was some discussion with Attorney Brown regarding a "10 percent plan for meters". Am I correct that all the existing customers, with Lakeland and White Rock, all have meters, is that correct?
- 12 A. (Vaughan) Yes.
- Q. And, within that, I think I asked this at the
  prehearing conference, so, going with that, so, my
  understanding is there's no request for waivers for any
  existing Public Utilities Commission rules?
- 17 A. (Vaughan) Not to my knowledge.
- 18 CMSR. SCOTT: Okay. I think that's everything. Thank you.
- 20 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. I have just a few more questions.
- 22 BY CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS:
- Q. Mr. Naylor, you've been so quiet, we'll bring you in.
  This is just a simple question. But, in the Joint

- Petition, White Rock was described as having "98 customers", in the Settlement Agreement it has "95 customers". It's not a big difference, but do you know what the correct number is?
  - A. (Naylor) I wasn't aware there was a discrepancy. So, no, I don't.
- 7 Q. Ms. Carson, do you know?

5

6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 8 A. (Carson) No. I'm not sure.
- 9 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. It would
  10 be good to confirm that, either today or in the future.
- 11 MR. JORDAN: The reason why it says "95"
  12 is because "98" is incorrect. And, Ms. Crawshaw brought
  13 it to my attention that it should be "95".
- 14 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. Thank
  15 you.

### 16 BY CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS:

Q. Also, in the Joint Petition, it says on Page 6, Item

12, and I don't know if you have that with you, but I

can read to you what it says, that describes board

approvals having been obtained, and then it says "Any

additional approvals will be provided to the Commission

at a later date." Are there other approvals that you

needed to obtain for this transaction and are they all

satisfied?

- 1 A. (Vaughan) I believe they are all satisfied.
- 2 Q. What else did you have to do?
- A. (Vaughan) We -- let me think. We had to ensure that

  New England Service Company was registered in New

  Hampshire. And, the financing, Ms. Carson tells me.
  - Q. All right. Then, Ms. Carson, a couple questions about the current status of all of the terms going towards this transaction. You say in your testimony, at Page 8, the very top of the page, that "The costs associated with the loan are not yet known." Do you now know what the costs will be?
- A. (Carson) I know that there is a commitment fee of \$2,000. I know that the legal fees from the bank side are going to be waived. And, that there is a \$1,000, basically, purchase of the bank's equity in order to receive that patronage that I mentioned before.
- 17 Q. And, that's what reduces the loan terms down --
- 18 A. (Carson) Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

- 19 Q. to 2.75 or something?
- A. (Carson) Well, in my testimony, yes. That was based on a rate of 3.45, but that's no longer today's rate.
- 22 Q. What is the rate now?
- 23 A. (Carson) The latest rate that I received was 3.75.
- 24 Q. And, will the actual rate be fixed at the day of the

- 1 closing?
- 2 A. (Carson) Yes.
- 3 Q. Are there any limits on how far it might go before you
- 4 -- I mean, would you close no matter what the rate is,
- or do you have any kind of band that you're willing to
- 7 A. (Carson) I think we're expecting something within 3.5
- 8 to 3.75 range. And, we would be looking for something
- 9 that's competitive. We wouldn't go with any rate.
- 10 Q. All right. You also described in your testimony why it
- made more sense not to assume the SRF loan from DES.
- 12 A. (Carson) Uh-huh.
- 13 Q. And, I want to just confirm with you, this is on Page 6
- of your testimony, the second half of the page. Is it
- correct that there will be no penalty for prepaying the
- 16 SRF loan?
- 17 A. (Carson) That's correct.
- 18 Q. And, there will be no withdrawal of the -- the waiver
- of -- the forgiveness of 50 percent of the SRF loan?
- 20 A. (Carson) That's correct.
- 21 Q. How have you confirmed that? Where you have gotten
- that information?
- 23 A. (Carson) I spoke with a staff member at DES.
- 24 Q. So, once the transaction is complete, you would take

- some of the proceeds and pay off that remaining \$39,000?
- A. (Carson) The plan is for the current ownership to pay it off before the closing.
- Q. All right. If the -- and I guess this is to either of you, Mr. Vaughan or Ms. Carson, if the easement issue is resolved such that you conclude you don't need the property for operations, does that change the financial terms of the transaction in any way?
- 10 A. (Vaughan) No.
- 11 Q. If there is a resolution that involves payment to the
  12 Association, does that change the financial terms of
  13 the transaction?
- 14 A. (Vaughan) If I could just ask you, the "resolution",
  15 the "resolution" would be generated by whom?
- Q. Well, the request -- so, for example, the homeowners
  have asked for payment for a number of years, and the
  answer was "well, we're looking into that." So, if
  there's a decision that "yes, there is money owed to
  the Association", does that effect the going forward of
  the transaction?
- 22 A. (Vaughan) Okay. Thank you. I appreciate that. It would not change it.
- 24 Q. Mr. Naylor, do you have a view as to whether the

- proposed transaction is still -- is affected in any way by the easement issue, such that your position would change, that you would no longer support the transaction?
- A. (Naylor) No. No, I'm not concerned about it. I think the parties are sufficiently motivated to deal with that question and resolve it. I don't think it's of a magnitude that certainly would jeopardize the proposal.

  So, I have no great concern about it.
- Q. Does the fact that the utility holds that easement and might in the future not hold that easement in any way affect your view of the viability of the system?
- A. (Naylor) No. I think Mr. Vaughan testified to this a little bit earlier with respect to the value of that property. And, apparently, it has been determined, at some point in the past, that the property does not have value or does not appear to have value as a source, a future water source. So, and I think, given the indications of, either in the testimony or in the Joint Petition, that additional source is something that the Company is going to be prioritizing, they certainly would not, you know, turn away from that property if it had potential value. So, I don't think that changes the -- really changes the analysis at all.

```
1
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: I think those are my
 2
       questions. Commissioner Harrington, you have another
 3
       question?
                         CMSR. HARRINGTON: Yes. Just to follow
 4
 5
       up on that, because this sort of prompted me to get back
       to this easement issue with one last question.
 6
 7
     BY CMSR. HARRINGTON:
 8
          They are requesting four years, the Homeowners
 9
         Association, four years of taxes. Can someone put that
10
          in a figure associated with that? Are we talking about
          $1,000 a year? $50,000 a year? $200,000 a year?
11
12
         (Carson) It's less than $2,000 total.
     Α.
13
                         CMSR. SCOTT: Total. Okay. That helps.
14
       Thank you. That was all I had.
15
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you.
16
       redirect? Ms. Holahan.
17
                         MS. HOLAHAN: Just a couple questions.
                         REDIRECT EXAMINATION
18
     BY MS. HOLAHAN:
19
20
          Commissioner Harrington just clarified the first one.
21
          And, that is that, Mr. Vaughan, isn't it true that the
```

22

24

approximate value of that payment currently is about

23 \$500 a year?

> (Vaughan) Yes. Α.

- Q. Second of all, I heard a couple of people have called this a "tax payment". Isn't it true that this is not a tax payment, it is a agreed upon amount based on a tax assessment?
- A. (Vaughan) Correct.

- Q. Thank you. Ms. Brown -- Attorney Brown asked you a couple questions about assets and liabilities that Abenaki would take from White Rock and Lakeland going forward. I'd ask you to look at pages 14 and 16 of Exhibit 2, and Pages 98 and through 101 of Exhibit 2. And, those are pages of your Asset Purchase Agreement with White Rock and your Asset Purchase Agreement with Lakeland. And, would you confirm that, in Sections 1.1, 1.2, and 1.3, that it specifies exactly which assets and which liabilities of each of the current utilities that Abenaki is taking in this sale?
- A. (Vaughan) That is correct.
- Q. Attorney Brown also asked you a question about the merger of White Rock and Lakeland with Abenaki. Once the sale is consummated, and assuming all approvals are granted, and Abenaki is granted authority to operate as a utility, and White Rock and Lakeland no longer have the authority to operate, do you really know what happens to White Rock and Lakeland as corporate

1 entities?

4

5

6

7

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Α.

- 2 A. (Vaughan) I can only imagine that they disappear. But that's at the discretion of their ownership.
  - Q. So, as a result of the transaction, Abenaki is a stand-alone corporation that has assumed certain liabilities and certain assets of White Rock and Lakeland moving forward?
- 8 A. (Vaughan) Correct.

9 MS. HOLAHAN: That's it. Thank you.

10 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. Mr.

11 Jordan, any questions? Redirect?

MR. JORDAN: No thank you.

13 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. Ms.

Brown, redirect?

15 BY MS. BROWN:

Q. Mr. Vaughan, in one of your responses concerning the Laconia agreement and working out a written agreement, you referenced — you were asked about rates and potential for a rate increase, do you know if this verbal agreement is akin to like a special contract, where there's a fixed fee, or is the bill coming from Laconia just as if Lakeland were another customer and it's at that rate? Do you have an opinion on that?

(Vaughan) The only thing I know for sure in this

1 arrangement is that Lakeland creates the bill and pays 2 Laconia. And, it's based on volumetric wastewater as 3 measured from the water meters. 4 But do you have any knowledge about the rate that Q. 5 Laconia uses to bill this volume? 6 (Vaughan) I don't know the specific rate. 7 MS. BROWN: That's all the questions I had. 8 9 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. Then, the witnesses are excused. If you want to gather back to 10 11 your seats, that would probably make sense. 12 Ms. Brown, do you want to renew your 13 request for a record request? 14 MS. BROWN: Yes. Notwithstanding Mr. 15 Naylor saying that his opinion would not change, I think 16 it would just be good practice for us to have that 17 buttoned up, with some sort of a filing from the 18 Companies, and it can either be from Abenaki or White Rock 19 or Lakeland, Staff doesn't care. It's just wanting to

24 CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Is there any

20

21

22

23

know that that issue has been resolved and what that

resolution is, so that, when we issue, you know, when the

Commission is issuing its order, at least it knows what's

happening with respect to the payments for that easement.

```
1
       objection to such a record request?
 2
                         MS. HOLAHAN: No objection. Just a
 3
       little bit of clarification as to what form you would like
       that notification to take, and whether there's a date by
 4
 5
       which it needs to be submitted.
 6
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. And, you
 7
       can't see, but Mr. Jordan is towering over you.
 8
                         MS. HOLAHAN: It's not hard to see.
 9
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Any comments, Mr.
10
       Jordan?
11
                         MR. JORDAN: Yes. Perfectly happy with
12
       reporting back to the Commission by a date certain as to
13
       whether there has been a resolution and, if so, what it
14
       is. But I cannot guarantee that it will be resolved by
15
       that date.
16
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. And, I
17
       guess, in closings, I'm going to ask people to comment on
18
       whether they believe the transaction should be conditional
19
       upon this issue being resolved. So, have time to think
20
       about that. If -- what date would anybody recommend for
21
       hearing back on the status of this issue? And, if you
22
       want to confer off the record, that's fine.
23
                         (Off the record.)
24
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right.
                                                         We're
```

```
1
       back on the record. Mr. Jordan.
 2
                         MR. JORDAN: As to timing, ten days,
 3
       when the transcript is available, we'll get the report in
       at the same time.
 4
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Ten days from the
 5
 6
       transcript or ten days from today's hearing?
 7
                         MR. JORDAN: No. Ten days from now.
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: That would be --
 8
 9
                         MR. JORDAN:
                                     Trying to make it
10
       contemporaneous with when you get the transcript.
11
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you.
12
                         MR. JORDAN: And, I perhaps
13
       misunderstood what Attorney Brown meant by "resolution".
14
       That, as I believe she has told me now, that it's the
15
       resolution of how the VSEA easement will be dealt with as
16
       between the buyer and the seller. That will be done by
17
       the ten days. And, if that's what the Court -- the
18
       "Court", the Commission means by "resolution", yes.
19
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: So, that's
20
       suggesting that there might be an understanding between
21
       the Companies, but there might be an ongoing issue between
22
       the Association and White Rock?
23
                         MR. JORDAN: Correct.
24
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. I think
```

that's acceptable that we have a ten-day reporting back on the status between the buyer and the seller and the transaction. Is that acceptable to Staff? He's sort of characterizing your position, so I want to make sure that's correct?

MS. BROWN: Yes, since I was the sponsor of the record request. But I also wonder, we have some of the customers here, or representatives, and I know that, if they — they hadn't had an opportunity to question, if they had any useful information or if they wanted to make a statement, perhaps they could do that prior to our closings. And, then, if there's other tweaks that we need to do to our closings, that we can do that. But I just wanted to remind the customers here that, if they want to speak up, they can add to this discussion. It doesn't have to be just among us attorneys.

CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Okay. All right.

All very fair comments. That was going to be the next thing to talk about. So, why don't we hold off on the final terms on the record request, in case there's anything else that we hear that changes our view on it. But why don't we turn then to see if, Mr. Edy, do you have any comments?

MR. EDY: Only to state that the Board

```
1
       of Directors is currently trying to find a mutual
       agreeable evening to meet with Mr. Jordan to discuss the
 2
 3
       resolution of this. And, I think, hopefully, it will be
 4
       sometime later this week, if not next. Is that correct?
 5
                         MR. JORDAN: It's Wednesday night, at
       7:30.
 6
 7
                         MR. EDY: It's Wednesday night, at 7:30.
 8
       I'm always the last to know these things.
 9
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. Well,
10
       that's perfect.
11
                         MR. EDY: And, we look forward to the
12
       meeting.
13
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right.
14
       you. Ms. Crystall, any comments?
15
                         MS. CRYSTALL: No. I just -- I'm very
16
       aware of the easement, and the fact that the water quality
17
       in that well, that inactive well that's in the easement is
18
       not worth using. So, I was familiar with the
19
       non-usability of it for the water company, from that
20
      perspective alone.
21
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you.
22
      Mr. Hammond?
23
                         MR. HAMMOND: I don't know if these are
24
       -- this is a question that warrants this particular
```

```
1
       setting or not. But, as a customer, I've heard about how
 2
       we're going to try and increase the supply of water in the
 3
       area. In the absence of ground water supply, is there any
 4
       provision that ensures that we have continuity of supply
 5
       in those shortages or not? And, is there any way of
 6
       making sure that we don't have one of the customers in the
 7
       neighborhood consuming all of that supply?
 8
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: And, so, that's --
 9
                         MR. HAMMOND: We experienced that within
10
       the last year. A garden hose was actually left on for
11
       four days, and drained the whole system for the whole 95
       houses in the neighborhood. So, it seems to me with those
12
13
       new meters, we might be able to learn something about that
14
       consumption in the neighborhood and reduce the demand.
15
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. And, is
16
       that something that you've brought to the attention of
17
       White Rock in the past?
18
                         MR. HAMMOND: White Rock is familiar
19
       with that. Certainly, with the demand problem, yes.
20
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: And, there was a
       reference to "limited storage options" in the response, I
21
22
       take it that's part of your issue?
23
                         MR. HAMMOND: Part of it is, if you have
24
       access to ground water that you could bring up for supply,
```

but, if it has been drained, a year ago, when we ran out of water, White Rock tanked in water from Concord or one of the other communities, helped fill up the supply tanks, so that we could have water. In the absence of the increase of supply, what will happen to provide us alternative supply? That's, I guess, what I was —

Started, you said "this may not be the right forum", and it probably isn't part of this proceeding. But I think, I'm glad you raised it, and the Company, the folks from Abenaki are here and heard those concerns. I would assume that, after this hearing, you could talk for a moment and make sure that they fully understand what the problem is, if they're not already aware, and look into those questions for you.

I see nodding from the Company. Thank you. All right. Anything further regarding the record request? I see nothing that changes the thinking on it, and that we would think it acceptable to reserve Exhibit Number 6 for a response from Abenaki, or Lakeland and White Rock together, regarding the resolution of the easement issue between the buyer and seller of this transaction, within ten days, no longer than ten days from today's hearing. Is that acceptable to everyone? Are we

```
1
       clear?
 2
                         MS. BROWN:
                                     Thank you.
 3
                         MR. JORDAN: Acceptable.
 4
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you.
 5
                         (Exhibit 6 reserved)
 6
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Then, is there any
 7
       objection to striking the identification of the six
 8
       exhibits and making them full exhibits?
 9
                         MR. JORDAN: None.
10
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Good. We will do
11
            The last thing then would be closing statements.
12
       And, let's begin first with the Staff. Ms. Brown.
13
                         MS. BROWN: Thank you, Commissioners,
14
       for your time today and your consideration of the
       Settlement Agreement. Staff supports the Agreement and
15
16
       respectfully requests that you approve it. Pursuant to
17
       RSA 374:22, no person or business can commence -- shall
18
       commence business within this state or exercise any right
19
       or privilege under a franchise without first obtaining
20
       permission from this Commission. And, under RSA 374:26,
21
       the Commission grants permission, after hearing, once it
22
      has found that the franchise is in the public good.
23
       the Commission usually or routinely looks at "public good"
24
       in terms of whether the companies have managerial,
```

technical, and financial capabilities. The evidence that we've put forth today establish, in Staff's opinion, that Abenaki has the requisite managerial, technical, and financial capabilities to take on the franchises of Lakeland and White Rock.

Abenaki will keep much of the existing management, and the transition to Abenaki from White Rock and Lakeland will be seamless to the customers, with the introduction of, well, the continuation of the billing pattern, there will be an 800 number that will be added, and the billing format, as demonstrated in Exhibit 4, seems to be very clear and comparable to the existing billing format that customers are used to seeing.

Being part of a larger entity, White
Rock and Lakeland will have access to efficiencies and
savings that it doesn't enjoy at this point. Billing
functions will be done by other -- will be done on a group
basis, and White Rock and Lakeland will benefit from only
paying for the billing function that is apportioned to
their work. Same with the technical personnel, it will
have access to technical personnel that it couldn't
otherwise hire on its own.

With respect to its financial capabilities, Abenaki will come out of this acquisition

with a 50 percent debt/50 percent equity, roughly, capital structure. And, Staff believes this is very necessary for a sustainable company. The Commission routinely prefers regulated utilities keep an approximate 50/50 capital structure.

With respect to its size, Abenaki will be part of a roughly 7,000 customer count group. In comparison to other utilities, which is Pennichuck Water Works or Pennichuck family of companies, which is Pennichuck Water Works, Pittsfield Aqueduct Company, and Pennichuck East, has about 34,000 customers in total. Aquarion, which is the next largest company, has about 9,000 customers, but it has access to greater resources in Connecticut. So, Abenaki is going to be about the size of Aquarion. But its resources in Connecticut and Massachusetts will be comparable, won't be as large, but at least they will have access to those other resources for the efficiencies and savings that it can bring to its White Rock and Lakeland customers.

Similar to the Pennichuck companies and Hampstead, you have a regulated water utility and you have unregulated parts of the company. And, together, they form akin to a three-legged stool, where the financial firmity of the company is improved, rather than just

having a water utility that is solely a regulated component, and doesn't have the unregulated affiliates to help support its functions.

You've heard today that Abenaki routinely goes through a capital improvement planning and budgeting, and coinciding with a rate relief planning process. Staff is encouraged by that.

For the reasons stated by Mr. Naylor and representing the Settlement Agreement, Staff believes that this is a sustainable enterprise, and is in the public good, and requests that the Commission approve Abenaki's request to acquire the franchise, and that White Rock and Lakeland's request to cease regulated provision of water service be granted. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: And, Ms. Brown, the question I had asked, do you see it as a requirement of the closing that the issue be resolved, the easement issue be resolved between the buyer and seller?

MS. BROWN: It would be nice. But I don't see that -- Staff doesn't see that has holding it up. And, we've had other companies be sold, and there have been accounts payable out there, and this is akin to an accounts payable. And, as long as there's some resolution for addressing it, Staff doesn't see that it

```
1
       should be -- it should hold up the approval process.
 2
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you.
 3
       Commissioner Harrington.
 4
                         CMSR. HARRINGTON: You just raised one
 5
       point in my mind that I wanted to get straight.
 6
       talked about the non-regulated companies there.
 7
       an affiliate agreement proposed between Abenaki and these
       non-regulated entities?
 8
 9
                         MS. BROWN: Yes. And, Mr. Vaughan
10
       testified that he is aware that affiliate agreements ought
11
       to be -- or, need to be filed with the Commission.
       will be following up -- Abenaki will be following up with
12
13
       that. And, that the affiliate agreements, I believe, are
14
       with the old C&C employees and New England Service
15
       Company.
16
                         CMSR. HARRINGTON:
                                            Okay. So, those will
17
      be coming later?
18
                         MS. BROWN: Yes.
19
                         CMSR. HARRINGTON: Okay.
                                                   Thank you.
20
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. Ms.
21
       Holahan.
22
                         MS. HOLAHAN: Thank you.
                                                   The Commission
23
       is familiar with these two systems, and the fact that the
24
      members of the Crawshaw family have dedicated decades of
```

their lives to operating and managing these systems and providing great service to their customers. Abenaki, with the help of its parent, New England Service, intends to build on their hard work. And, with a more robust administrative infrastructure, better access to capital, and a bigger workforce, so, a desire to take the companies to the next level, while maintaining the same level of customer service.

Accordingly, Abenaki respectfully requests that the Commission approve the Settlement Agreement, and, in particular, the authority of -- or, the authority for Abenaki to operate as a public utility here in New Hampshire, for White Rock and Lakeland to cease operations in New Hampshire, and for White Rock and Lakeland to transfer substantially all of their utility-related assets to Abenaki, and for Abenaki to -- authority to issue long-term debt in the amount of 3,000 -- \$300,000.

In addition, the issue of the easement will be resolved between the buyer and the seller prior to -- prior to closing. However, based on the -- whether the easement is necessary to ongoing utility operations, Abenaki would request authority to amend the Asset Purchase Agreement going forward, if it determines that

```
1
       it's not going to take that asset going forward.
                                                          I think
       we've heard testimony today that it wouldn't affect
 2
 3
       Staff's position on the viability of the transaction.
 4
       And, if it is determined that it's not necessary, we would
 5
       like the authority to amend the Agreement, without coming
 6
       back to the Commission. Thank you very much for your time
 7
       today.
 8
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS:
                                              Thank you.
                                                          {\tt Mr.}
 9
       Jordan, yes?
10
                         MR. JORDAN: You're so accustomed to me
11
       being brief, for all the reasons stated by Attorney Brown
12
       and Attorney Holahan, the Companies ask that you grant the
13
       Petition.
14
                         CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Nicely done.
15
       you. I want to just make one final comment before we
16
       close the hearing. And, that's that we do hear about
17
       struggles that small companies face, both in efficient
18
       operations and financing difficulties, and some of the
19
       high capital investments that are required going forward.
20
       And, so, we welcome another company that's interested in
21
       bringing some economies of scale into the systems. And,
22
       we will consider the Settlement Agreement and all of the
23
       terms that have been set forth today very seriously.
```

1	extremely quickly by PUC time. Looks as though we went
2	from a filing in August, to discovery beginning in
3	October, and here we are at a hearing the first week of
4	December, which is, I think, a sign of a pretty committed
5	group of people who wanted to get through an awful lot of
6	different transactions as quickly as possible and still do
7	the necessary work to explore each of the transactions
8	that are being proposed here. So, I thank you all for
9	trying to move this quickly, and still be thorough in the
10	process. And, we will do the same on our end.
11	So, with that, we'll take all of this
12	under advisement. And, we are adjourned. Thank you.
13	(Whereupon the hearing was adjourned at
	12:28 p.m.)
14	12.28 p.m.)
	12.26 p.m.)
15	12.26 p.m.)
15 16	12.26 p.m.)
15 16 17	12.26 p.m.)
15 16 17 18	12.20 p.m.)
15 16 17 18	12.26 p.m.)
15 16 17 18	12.20 p.m.)
15 16 17 18 19 20	12.20 р.ш.)
21	12.26 p.m.)